Michael Goo (unsigned) and David Williams (signed) Assumption Information Bureau 63 Insum Street Comeridge, Mass. 02179

Undear Bureau,

When your letter came yesterday I wendered whether to waste the time responding.

Then I decided perhaps I'd waste the time. Maybe one of you is other than 100% of the representation I have, so an opportunity to influence a single mind might justify time that is probably wasted.

I've been hearing of you for some time, with growing disappointment and dismay. Actually, though, I can't remember hearing your name. Only of commercialing, unprincipled people fastbucking the trusting minds of the young and mininformating all they can get to pay them for it.

"Assassination Information Percen," lmh?

Reminds me of an incident back in 1976 or 1977 when I was a Senate investigator and editor. We were investigating a native fascist outfit calling itself the "Constitutional Educational League." Like you it was a commercializing of the believing, only from the other side.

The late Senater Elbert "homes, a scholarly Herman and a seft-spaken can with the patience one would expect of a man who sired only five daughters, was questioning this character Joe Kamp. About the name of his outfit. After taking Kamp through his understanding of the works in the name of his outfit, Thomas decided that it was neither constitutional nor educational but it might be a league.

You may be a bureau. But what you dish out is not information. And if you really gave a dank about the assammination or their consequences you'd have folded up before you began.

One of your characters, I think Yamijian, phonon no seem time back from Baltimore prior to an appearance at the University of Suryland. He took a long time and feelichly I thought well maybe, just maybe, he really mants to know a little about what he is talking about. So, I tried to let his see that all the stuff he was dishing out generally sense from another crifice. After a couple of hours he was all "Well Goe! Thanks!"

Then he went to deliver — for what I understand is good pay — his "information" to all those open and trusting young minds. What came back to so is almost word for word the atter felsehood and incompetent fabrication I had heard from him by phones deliberate mininformation.

So why do you write me and ask no to joing your nesset, largest exploitation? You know neither no ner my work if you think I'll be fart of deceiving people as all of you do. I think that what you do is weren than what you attribute to others and I will not be part of it. I can't condenn the minimferming of anyone strongly enough to take time for the descriptives warranted. And when this deliberate minimferming is of the young I condenn it even more. Whering is more respectable than what you do.

You have much to say about the speaks, as do others like you. But the reality is that you do their work, making whether or not there is an official connection irrelevant.

I have thus addressed your request for any fraggestions or communitation our conference."

Drop it and quit bifore you do nove hurn, speed more misinformation, bury truth deeper. Your ambiguities about "conspiracy and associantion literature" I address in first-escalment terms: whatever you want is available at normal communical terms. Normal trade discounts for quantity purchases only with you much in advance.

Mareld Weisberg